

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,737	11/06/2001	Valery M. Dubin	042390.P12712	9145
. 75	90 07/25/2003		·	
Stephen M. De Klerk BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor			EXAMINER	
			HRUSKOCI, PETER A	
12400 Wilshire Los Angeles, Ca			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1 70000 1000		1724	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			J. Pa
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/005,737	DUBIN ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thing will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	1 February 2003 .		
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for allocal closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for formal ma		its is
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application		•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Maria la alfa a canadana and		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	/or election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	. (4)	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	Application No	-
 Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applic	cation).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_·
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/005,737

Art Unit: 1724

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification on page 8 line 7 "dimethyle" appears to be erroneous, and should be changed to – dimethyl -.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 14 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 14 "high-acid VMS" is vague and indefinite because it is unclear how this term further limits the claim. In claim 30 "the system" lacks clear antecedent basis.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 12, 13, 15, and 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Courduvelis. It is submitted that Courduvelis appears to disclose (see col. 2 line 58 through col. 3 line 65) the method steps recited in the instant claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 10, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Courduvelis as above, and further in view of Kamperman. The claims differ from Courduvelis as applied above by reciting that a stabilizing chemical is added to decrease the pH

Application/Control Number: 10/005,737

Art Unit: 1724

of the waste. Kamperman disclose (see col. 2 line 56 through col. 4 line 42) that it is known in the art to add acid to an electroless plating waste, to aid in reducing the dissolved metal content. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method of Courduvelis by utilizing a stabilizing chemical which reduces the pH in view of the teachings of Kamperman, to aid in removing dissolved metals from the electroless plating waste. The specific pH and acid utilized would have been an obvious matter of process optimization to one skilled in the art, depending on the specific waste treated and results desired, absent a sufficient showing of unexpected results.

Claims 5-9, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Courduvelis in view of Kamperman as above, and further in view of Belongia et al.. The claims differ from the references as applied above by reciting the use of pH and gas detection steps, and oxidation reactions. Belongia et al. disclose (see col. 1 line 15 through col. 4 line 47, and col. 5 line 60 through col. 8 line 35) a method of treating an electroless plating waste utilizing pH an gas detection sensors and oxidation reactions. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the references as applied above by utilizing the recited pH and gas detection steps and oxidation reactions, to aid in controlling the waste treatment and in removing organic contaminants from the electroless plating waste. The specific pH and acid utilized would have been an obvious matter of process optimization to one skilled in the art, depending on the specific waste treated and results desired, absent a sufficient showing of unexpected results.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Courduvelis as above, and further in view of Alexander et al.. The claims differ from Courduvelis as applied above by reciting steps for mixing and agitating the waste in the container. Alexander et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,737

Art Unit: 1724

disclose (see col. 7 line 38 through col. 8 line 34) that it is known in the art to utilize mixing and agitation to aid in precipitating metals from electroless plating wastes. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method of Courduvelis by utilizing the recited mixing and agitation steps in view of the teachings of Alexander et al., to aid in precipitating metals from the electroless plating waste.

Claims 26, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Courduvelis as above, and further in view of Belongia et al.. The claims differ from the Courduvelis as applied above by reciting the use of a gas detection step and a semi-automatic system. Belongia et al. disclose (see col. 1 line 15 through col. 4 line 47, and col. 5 line 60 through col. 8 line 35) that it is known in the art to utilize gas detection sensors to aid in controlling the regeneration of an electroless plating waste. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method of Courduvelis as applied above by utilizing the recited gas detection step and system in view of the teachings of Belongia et al., to aid in controlling the regeneration of the electroless plating waste.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter A. Hruskoci whose telephone number is (703) 308-3839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Blaine Copenheaver, can be reached on (703) 308-1261. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 (non-after finals) and 703-872-9311 after finals.

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Peter A. Hruskoci
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

P. Hruskoci July 22, 2003